

Copper(I)-Catalyzed Heterocyclization of α -Acyl- α -alkynyl Ketene Dithioacetals: Synthesis of 3-Cyanofurans

Lianjie Wang, Xiaocui Liu, Mang Wang,* and Jun Liu*

Department of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, P. R. China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A new method for the synthesis of 3-cyanofurans via Cu(I)-catalyzed heterocyclization of α -acyl- α -alkynyl ketene dithioacetals in the presence of ammonium acetate has been developed. The procedure generates the cyano moiety in situ with ammonium acetate as the nitrogen source. Based upon experimental observations, a plausible reaction mechanism is proposed.

Polysubstituted furans not only represent an important class of five-membered heterocycles prevalent in natural products, pharmaceuticals, and agrochemicals but also represent versatile building blocks in organic synthesis.² Among them, the 3-substituted furyl moiety has immense importance on account of the roles it plays in various biologically active molecules. In particular, 3-cyanofurans constitute ideal building blocks for the elaboration of more complex scaffolds, owing to the versatile chemistry of the cyano group.³ To date, a number of synthetic strategies toward 3cyanofurans have been developed. Traditional methods for preparing 3-cyanofurans include functional group transformation (dehydration of amides/aldoximes), cyanide-(pseudo)halide exchange reactions,⁵ and direct C-H cyanation reactions, which generally involve complicated operations, poor functional group tolerance, toxic reagents such as MCN (M = Cu, K, Na, or Zn), or harsh reaction conditions (Scheme 1a). In recent decades, cyclization reactions of organic precursors bearing a "CN" moiety have been used for 3cyanofurans synthesis. These methods suffer from additional operations for preparing cyano-containing substrates in advance (Scheme 1b). Therefore, the development of new cyclization methods to access 3-cyanofurans by in situ formation of a "CN" unit is still an extremely attractive yet challenging goal. Herein, we report the first example of generating a "CN" unit in situ by using ammonium acetate as a nitrogen source in Cu(I)catalyzed cyclization of α -acyl- α -alkynyl ketene dithioacetals for the synthesis of 3-cyanofurans (Scheme 1c).8

Using (E)-4-(1,3-dithiolan-2-ylidene)-1,6-diphenylhex-1-en-5-yn-3-one (1a) as a test substrate, optimal conditions were first sought for the cyclization process. When I2 was employed as in Larock's electrophile-induced cyclization of 2-(1-alkynyl)-2-alken-1-ones, no cyclized product was obtained and only decomposition of the starting material was observed (Table 1, entry 1). Several commonly used transition metal salts in the cyclization of acyclic precursors were tested as the catalyst to conduct the reaction. 10 Intriguingly, the use of Ag₂CO₃ offered (E)-5-phenyl-2-styrylfuran-3-carbonitrile (2a) in varied yield depending on the loading of Ag₂CO₃ (entries 2-4). However, this method required a stoichiometric amount of Ag₂CO₃ for

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3-Cyanofurans

a) Cyanation of furan precursors

$$\underset{R\overset{\square}{\stackrel{\square}{\sqcup}}0}{\overset{X}{\longrightarrow}}\underset{R\overset{\square}{\stackrel{\square}{\sqcup}}0}{\overset{CN}{\longrightarrow}}$$

X = CONH2, CHNOH, Br, I, H, COOH, CHO, B(OH)2

b) Cyclization of the precursors containing the whole -CN unit

c) This work: Heterocyclization of α-acyl-α-alkynyl ketene dithioacetals generates CN unit in situ

an excellent yield. To our delight, similar efficiency was obtained by the use of catalytic amounts of CuBr (entries 5-6). Then, a series of other copper salts (CuI, CuCl, CuCl₂, and Cu(OAc)₂) were evaluated, wherein CuBr was most effective, while the Cu(II) salt gave no desirable product (entries 7–10). Upon examining the solvents, MeCN proved to be the most suitable for this transformation (entries 11–14). We then turned our attention to the effect of temperature. As illustrated in entries 15-17, we found that the reaction proceeded efficiently at 110 °C, while either high or low temperatures gave inferior results. A control experiment verified the requirement of CuBr (entry 18).

Received: March 17, 2016 Published: April 13, 2016

Organic Letters Letter

Table 1. Optimized Reaction Conditions

entry	reagent (equiv)	solvent	temp (°C)	2a/% ^b
1	I ₂ (3.0)	CH ₃ CN	rt to 100	0
2	$Ag_2CO_3 (0.2)$	CH ₃ CN	100	30
3	Ag_2CO_3 (1.0)	CH ₃ CN	100	98
4	Ag_2CO_3 (2.0)	CH ₃ CN	100	95
5	CuBr (0.1)	CH ₃ CN	100	68
6	CuBr (0.3)	CH ₃ CN	100	93
7	CuI (0.3)	CH ₃ CN	100	17
8	CuCl (0.3)	CH ₃ CN	100	trace
9	$CuCl_2$ (0.3)	CH ₃ CN	100	0
10	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (0.3)	CH ₃ CN	100	0
11	CuBr (0.3)	DMF	100	40
12	CuBr (0.3)	THF	100	26
13	CuBr (0.3)	toluene	100	15
14	CuBr (0.3)	DMSO	100	42
15	CuBr (0.3)	CH ₃ CN	110	98
16	CuBr (0.3)	CH_3CN	120	96
17	CuBr (0.3)	CH_3CN	90	70
18	_	CH ₃ CN	110	0

 $^a\mathrm{Reaction}$ conditions: A solution of 1a (0.2 mmol), NH₄OAc (0.4 mmol), and a reagent in the indicated solvent (2.0 mL) was stirred for 5 h. $^b\mathrm{Isolated}$ yield.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we proceeded to investigate the synthetic potential of this transformation, and the results are summarized in Scheme 2. We were pleased to find that a number of multisubstituted 3cyanofurans were successfully prepared with fair to excellent yields. First, substituents on the acyl moiety were investigated (2a-j). Arylalkenoyl substrates, having either electron-donating or -withdrawing aryl groups, were viable in the reaction, although arylalkenoyl substrates with electron-donating substrates displayed higher reactivity than those with electronwithdrawing groups. Gram scale synthesis of 2a was achieved in 95% yield demonstrating the practicability of the transformation. Naphthyl and alkenyl substrates were also productive (2d and 2g). Aroyl substrates also reacted with ammonium acetate to afford the corresponding products (2ii). Furthermore, a set of substituents at the terminal alkyne moiety were evaluated under the standard conditions (2k-r). Substrates with either electron-donating or -withdrawing groups on the phenyl ring could be used to generate the corresponding products in good yields. Efficiency was not much influenced by electronic variation on the aryl moiety at the terminal alkyne albeit the 2-F group leading to a dramatically decreased yield (20). When the pyridine group was used as a substituent, the product could be obtained in 65% yield (2p). An alkyne bearing cyclopropyl substituent was compatible with the reaction system (2q). A substrate with a terminal alkyne was not a viable substrate for this cyclization reaction. The structure of 2i was successfully confirmed by X-ray crystallography.1

In order to gain some insight into this transformation, a series of control experiments were conducted. The amide could not transform into a nitrile under the standard reaction conditions (Scheme 3a). This result ruled out the possibility of

Scheme 2. Scope of α -Acyl- α -alkynyl Ketene Dithioacetals^{α}

"Reaction conditions: A mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol), NH₄OAc (0.4 mmol), and CuBr (0.06 mmol, 0.3 equiv) in MeCN (2.0 mL) was stirred at 110 °C for 5–12 h. The yields are for the isolated products.

Scheme 3. Control Experiments

an amide as the intermediate in this procedure. Addition of 1 equiv of tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) had almost no effect on the reaction, indicating that a radical process might not be involved (Scheme 3b). The reactions of 3a and $3b^{12}$ with ammonium acetate failed to afford 1,4-addition products under the standard reaction conditions. This result suggested that the transformation might not be triggered by the 1,4-addition of ammonium acetate (Scheme 3c).

On the basis of the above-mentioned experimental results and previous reports, 10 a plausible mechanism is proposed in Scheme 4. The simultaneous coordination of the triple bond and sulfur atom of α -acyl- α -alkynyl ketene dithioacetals to a copper(I) catalyst enhances the electrophilicity of the triple

Organic Letters Letter

Scheme 4. Proposed Plausible Mechanism for the Copper-Catalyzed Cyclization of α -Acyl- α -alkynyl Ketene Dithioacetals

bond, ^{100,13} and subsequent nucleophilic attack of the carbonyl oxygen on the electron-deficient triple bond generates the thionium ion intermediate I (or the sulfur-stabilized carbocation intermediate). ¹⁰ Afterward, the intermolecular nucleophilic attack of ammonia on the carbon atom of thionium I and subsequent protonation of the carbon–copper bond afford intermediate III and regenerate the copper(I) catalyst. Finally, tandem cleavage of the two carbon–sulfur bonds takes place to give the final product. ¹⁴

While alcohol nucleophiles were being investigated, the reaction of **1a** with MeOH was carried out under the optimal reaction conditions (eq 1). A mixture of unidentified

compounds was produced, and no corresponding furan 4 was observed. It has been reported that copper(I) can catalyze the cyclization of 5 with MeOH in DMF to form furan 6 via the oxonium ion intermediate A (eq 2). The use of DMF, which acts as a Lewis base to deprotonate a proton of methanol, is essential for the reaction. However, under the same conditions as mentioned above, when the reaction of 1a with MeOH was performed in DMF, no reaction occurred (eq 3). These comparative results can be explained simply by the theory of "hard and soft" nucleo- and electrophiles. The thionium ion

intermediate I is considered to be a "softer" electrophile than the oxonium ion intermediate A owing to the carbocation stabilization by two sulfur atoms. Therefore, the oxygen of the alcohol, being more electronegative than nitrogen, unfavorably attacks as a relatively "harder" nucleophile onto the soft electrophilic thionium ion intermediate I.

In summary, we have illustrated the first Cu-catalyzed cyclization of α -acyl- α -alkynyl ketene dithioacetals by in situ forming a "CN" unit in the product using ammonium acetate as the nitrogen source. This method proceeds through a sequential cyclization and triple C-N bond formation cascade and provides a valuable one-pot assembly of 3-cyanofurans from a broad range of α -acyl- α -alkynyl ketene dithioacetals with excellent yields and functional group tolerance.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b00785.

Full experimental and characterization data for all compounds (PDF)
Crystallographic data for 2i (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: wangm452@nenu.edu.cn. *E-mail: liuj975@nenu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21202017) is greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Rao, A. U.; Xiao, D.; Huang, X.; Zhou, W.; Fossetta, J.; Lundell, D.; Tian, F.; Trivedi, P.; Aslanian, R.; Palani, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 1068. (b) Kumari, N.; Mishra, C. B.; Prakash, A.; Kumar, N.; Mongre, R.; Luthra, P. M. Neurosci. Lett. 2014, 558, 203. (c) Hasegawa, F.; Niidome, K.; Migihashi, C.; Murata, M.; Negoro, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Kato, K.; Fujii, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2014, 24, 4266. (d) Abd-Elzaher, M. M.; Labib, A. A.; Mousa, H. A.; Moustafa, S. A.; Abdallah, M. M. Res. Chem. Intermed. 2014, 40, 1923. (e) Zeng, C.; Seino, H.; Ren, J.; Hatanaka, K.; Yoshie, N. Macromolecules 2013, 46, 1794.

(2) (a) Gulevich, A. V.; Dudnik, A. S.; Chernyak, N.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 3084. (b) Moran, W. J.; Rodriguez, A. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2012, 44, 103. (c) Lu, Y. H.; Song, F. J.; Jia, X. S.; Liu, Y. H. Prog. Chem. 2010, 22, 58. (d) Kirsch, S. F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2076. (e) Keay, B. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 209. (f) Hou, X. L.; Cheung, H. Y.; Hon, T. Y.; Kwan, P. L.; Lo, T. H.; Tong, S. Y.; Wong, H. N. C. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 1955. (g) Trushkov, I. V.; Uchuskin, M. G.; Butin, A. V. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 2999. (h) Harmata, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2297. (i) Cao, H.; Jiang, H. F.; Huang, H. W.; Zhao, J. W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 7313. (j) Cao, H.; Zhan, H.; Wu, J.; Zhong, H.; Lin, Y.; Zhang, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 2318. (k) Liu, W. B.; Chen, C.; Zhang, Q. Synth. Commun. 2013, 43, 951. (l) Yan, R.; Huang, J.; Luo, J.; Wen, P.; Huang, G.; Liang, Y. Synlett 2010, 2010, 1071.

(3) (a) Larock, R. C. Comprehensive Organic Transformations; Wiley: New York, 1999. (b) Sun, M.; Zhang, H. Y.; Han, Q.; Yang, K.; Yang, S. D. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9566.

Organic Letters Letter

- (4) Pevzner, L. M. Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 2002, 72, 1085.
- (5) (a) Cantillo, D.; Kappe, C. O. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10567.
 (b) Schareina, T.; Zapf, A.; Magerlein, W.; Muller, N.; Beller, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 6249. (c) Carmeli, M.; Shefer, N.; Rozen, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8969. (d) Schareina, T.; Zapf, A.; Cotté, A.; Müller, N.; Beller, M. Synthesis 2008, 2008, 3351.
- (6) Floyd, A. J.; Kinsman, R. G.; Roshan-Ali, Y.; Brown, D. W. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 3881.
- (7) (a) Zhang, X. X.; Dai, W. P.; Wu, W.; Cao, S. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2708. (b) Zheng, X. J.; Lu, S. L.; Li, Z. P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5432. (c) Arcadi, A.; Cerichelli, G.; Chiarini, M.; Di Giuseppe, S.; Marinelli, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9195. (d) Wang, Z. L.; Li, H. L.; Ge, L. S.; An, X. L.; Zhang, Z. G.; Luo, X. Y.; Fossey, J. S.; Deng, W. P. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 1156. (e) Li, J. S.; Xue, Y.; Li, Z. W.; Liu, W. D.; Lu, C. H.; Zhao, P. X. Synlett 2013, 24, 2003. (f) Clark, J. S.; Boyer, A.; Aimon, A.; Garcia, P. E.; Lindsay, D. M.; Symington, A. D. F.; Danoy, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12128. (g) Jiang, B.; Zhang, F. J.; Xiong, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 665. (h) Liu, P.; Lei, M.; Ma, L.; Hu, L. H. Synlett 2011, 2011, 1133.
- (8) For selected examples of —CN generation with ammonium as the nitrogen source, see: (a) Kim, J.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10272. (b) Kim, J.; Choi, J.; Shin, K.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2528. (c) Kim, J.; Kim, H.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3924. (d) Wang, Z.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1990. (e) Dornan, L. M.; Cao, Q.; Flanagan, J. C. A.; Crawford, J. J.; Cook, M. J.; Muldoon, M. J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6030. (f) Kim, J.; Stahl, S. S. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1652. (g) Tao, C.; Liu, F.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, W.; Cao, Z. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 3349. (h) Yin, W.; Wang, C.; Huang, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1850. (i) Li, B.; Guo, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, X.; Fan, X. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5444.
- (9) (a) Yao, T.; Zhang, X.; Larock, R. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 7679. (b) Cho, C. H.; Larock, R. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 3417. For a review on the electrophilic cyclization of alkynes, see: (c) Godoi, B.; Schumacher, R. f.; Zeni, G. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 2937.
- (10) (a) Liu, R. R.; Zhang, J. L. Chem. Asian J. 2012, 7, 294. (b) Gao, H. Y.; Zhang, J. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 2777. (c) He, C.; Guo, S.; Ke, J.; Hao, J.; Xu, H.; Chen, H. Y.; Lei, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5766. (d) Rauniyar, V.; Wang, Z. J.; Burks, H. E.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8486. (e) Gao, H. Y.; Wu, X. X.; Zhang, J. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 2838. (f) Liu, R. R.; Zhang, J. L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 36. (g) Krafft, M. E.; Vidhani, D. V.; Cran, J. W.; Manoharan, M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6707. (h) Li, W. B.; Zhang, J. L. Chem. Commun. 2009, 46, 8839. (i) Liu, F.; Qian, D. Y.; Li, L.; Zhao, X. L.; Zhang, J. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6669. (j) Gao, H. Y.; Zhao, X. L.; Yu, Y. H.; Zhang, J. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 456. (k) Xiao, Y. J.; Zhang, J. L. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3594. (1) Liu, R. R.; Zhang, J. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 9303. (m) Xiao, Y. J.; Zhang, J. L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 617. (n) Xiao, Y. J.; Zhang, J. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1903. (o) Patil, N. T.; Wu, H. Y.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4531. (p) Yao, T. L.; Zhang, X. X.; Larock, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11164.
- (11) Crystallographic data for **2i** are available as Supporting Information or as CCDC-1456640. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- (12) (a) Fu, Z. Q.; Wang, M.; Ma, Y. H.; Liu, Q.; Liu, J. J. Org. Chem. **2008**, 73, 7625. (b) Yuan, H. J.; Wang, M.; Liu, Y. J.; Liu, Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2009**, 351, 112.
- (13) Kamijo, S.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4764.
- (14) Kang, J.; Liang, F. S.; Sun, S. G.; Liu, Q.; Bi, X. H. Org. Lett. **2006**, *8*, 2547.
- (15) (a) Pearson, R. G.; Songstad, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 1827. (b) Hudson, R. F.; Klopman, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 8, 1103.